Attitude – asdkfj

Certainty – asdkfj

Justification – asdkfj

Likelihood – asdkfj

**Likelihood**

description of coming up with the formula for likelihood, deciding on attitude, justification, certainty, etc. possibly include a chart

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Initial factors** | **Increase** | **Decrease** |
| **Attitude** | Condom desire | Talking to peers with similar attitude   * (only slightly above? Or also slightly below, but on same pole as you?   If they have sex with a partner that is infected and use protection…??? proposed  Super boosted if contract an STI and know it | Proposed: Think you “got away with” unsafe sex   * but actually, increases justification, just attitude gets more negative? So initially likelihood should take safe sex justification and use it to potentially bump up lower likelihoods, which are most influenced by attitude…? |
| **Certainty** | Mesosystem influence | Every time you repeat your attitude to someone else  If you feel like others have the same/similar attitude as you  Super boosted if contract an STI and know it | Attitude challenged   * *(in opposite direction?? Does it have to be < 50 vs. > 50?)* |
| **Justification** | Sex ed including condoms | Super boosted if contract an STI and know it | Current: Think you “got away with” unsafe sex   * but actually, increases justification, just attitude gets more negative? So initially likelihood should take safe sex justification and use it to potentially bump up lower likelihoods, which are most influenced by attitude…? |

What happens if a guy receives 80% accurate safe sex information, but still has a 15% desire to wear a condom?? How does this impact likelihood? How does certainty change it? Does certainty impact justification? Is there a different initial formula??

🡪 I would think that his likelihood would be higher than his attitude ( > 15), because he realizes the justification for safe sex is strong

What if a girl has 80% desire for condom, but receives 15% accurate sex ed education (no condom usage)?

🡪 I would think that her likelihood would not be higher than her attitude (80) (or if it is, not very much at all due to weak justification), but would her likelihood actually be lower?? How does certainty play a role in this?

Justification is how much valid reasoning they feel they have for their opinion, whether it is good or bad… .distinguish from certainty??

Maybe justification defined here as justification in practicing in safe sex, not in attitude itself? Because that’s covered by certainty

;; \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

;; What if they had safe sex, and didn't get infected.

;; That should be some (small) evidence to the benefits

;; of protection and increase their justification, if only slightly

;; \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*